Topic A: Conflict between the United Kingdom and Argentina regarding who owns the Falkland Islands, using as a

President: Andres Cobos Tanús

Moderator: Daniela Del Castillo Rodriguez

Official Assistant: Henry Maximiliano Francisco Martinez

Introduction

The United Nations Security Council plays a crucial role in maintaining international peace and security. It is responsible for preventing potential threats and addressing acts of aggression through coordinated global actions. The effectiveness of the council depends on the active participation and collaboration of all member states. Comprising 15 members, the Security Council has the authority to enforce its decisions and, when necessary, can deploy or authorize the use of force to uphold global peace and security (United Nations, 1984)

At the core of the Security Council's functions is the United Nations Charter, which serves as the foundational framework for the United Nations governance and objectives. The Charter includes 111 articles organized into 19 chapters, detailing the United Nations missions to prevent global peace and security. It also defines the roles of the principal United Nations organs, including the General Assembly and the International Court of Justice. They are different key articles that reflect the vision the Security Council has to offer:

- 1. Article 24: It establishes the primary responsibilities and grants the Security Council the authority to take necessary actions to address threats to peace and acts of aggression; it also emphasizes that the Council acts on behalf of the member states, ensuring prompt and effective action by the United Nations in carrying out its duties to uphold global peace and security.
- 2. Article 25: Mandates that all member states of the United Nations must comply with the decisions and resolutions of the Security Council. It imposes binding obligations on member states to accept and implement the decisions made by the Council, thereby reinforcing the authority of the Security Council and ensuring its resolutions are enforced globally.
- 3. Article 26: Assigns the Security Council the task of formulating plans for the regulation of armaments and the reduction of military expenditures. The goal of this article is to promote international peace and security by minimizing the diversion of human and economic resources toward armaments. By focusing on disarmament and arms control, the Security Council aims to foster a more stable and peaceful international environment.

Established in 1946, the Security Council is one of the six principal organs of the United Nations. Its creation was a response to the failures of the League of Nations, with the

first meeting being held on January 17, 1946. President Franklin D. Roosevelt was an important piece in the Council's establishment (University of Notre Dame, 1984). As a vital organ, the Security Council's resolutions are binding on all member states, which are required to implement them.

The primary aim of the United Nations is to promote international cooperation to ensure global peace and stability. Specifically, the Security Council addresses threats to peace and acts of aggression through measures such as imposing economic sanctions, authorizing peacekeeping forces, and recommending new countries for United Nations memberships (Britannica, 1984).

The Council's responsibilities also include disarmament efforts, oversight of treaties concerning minority groups, and administration of certain territories. The Security Council's functions are categorized into the adoption of resolutions, types of resolutions, and interpretation and implementation. For a resolution to be adopted, it must receive approval from at least nine of the fifteen members, including all five permanent members. In terms of interpretation and implementation, the Council can establish subsidiary bodies like sanctions committees to oversee adherence to its resolutions. In some instances, the Council may use force to enforce its decisions (R2P, 1984).

The Security Council includes two categories of members: *Permanent Members* and *Non-Permanent members*. The Permanent members include The People's Republic of China, The French Republic, The Russian Federation, The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, and The United States of America, which possesses *the veto* power over substantive resolutions. The Non-Permament Members, who serve two-year terms, currently include the People's Democratic Republic of Algeria, the Federative Republic of Brazil, Canada, the Kingdom of Denmark, the Hellenic Republic, Malaysia, the Kingdom of Morocco, the Federal Republic of Nigeria, Socialist Republic of Romania, and the Kingdom of Sweden. (United Nations, 1984)

The veto power, defined in Article 24 of the United Nations Charter, allows any permanent member to block a resolution with a negative vote. This power was designed to protect the interests of the permanent members and maintain peace. The veto can be used to block resolutions, prevent the admission or expulsion of member states, influence the council's agenda, and for symbolic reasons (Security Council's Report, 1984)

Non-permanent members also play a significant role. Despite lacking veto power, they contribute actively to discussion, and decision-making, and offer diverse perspectives. Their involvement ensures a comprehensive and inclusive approval of

global security issues, preventing a focus solely on the interests of a single nation or the exclusion of smaller states. (Security Council Report, 1984)

Definition of the problem.

The Security Council has the authority to enforce its decision and, when necessary, can deploy or authorize the use of force to uphold global peace and security. In the early 1980s, the Security Council was confronted with a significant conflict between the Argentine Republic and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, known as the Falkland War. This conflict arose from the complex backdrop of territorial disputes and diplomatic failures.

In a significant development in global affairs, the ongoing dispute over the Falkland Islands has escalated into a major international conflict. The Argentine Republic has launched a surprise military invasion of the Falkland Islands, a British overseas territory located in the South Atlantic Ocean. This aggressive move comes amid internal challenges within Argentina, including economic difficulties and political unrest, which the ruling military junta, led by General Leopoldo Galtieri, seeks to address by rallying nationalistic support.

The British government, under Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, has condemned the invasion and is rapidly mobilizing a military task force to reclaim the islands. The British response included a comprehensive naval and air operation aimed at regaining control of the disputed territory. This situation has drawn widespread international attention, with the United Nations Security Council convening emergency sessions to address the escalating crisis and call for a cease-fire.

The conflict, characterized by intense naval engagements and strategic military operations, highlights the border issue of territorial sovereignty and self-determination. The international community remains closely engaged, with diplomatic efforts and discussions continuing to shape the response to the unfolding events. The outcome of this conflict will have significant implications for regional stability and international relations.

Conflict context

In April 1982, Argentina's sudden invasion of the Falkland Islands marked a critical escalation in the long-standing dispute between Argentina and the United Kingdom over these strategically located South Atlantic territories. The Argentine focus quickly overran the small British garrison stationed on the islands, establishing control and initiating a series of military engagements. The swift action by Argentina was met

with immediate international condemnation and set the stage for a protracted conflict involving extensive military operations.

Following the invasion, the British government mobilized a formidable naval task force to reclaim the Falklands. The response was characterized by the rapid development of naval vessels, including aircraft, barriers, destroyers, and submarines, to the South Atlantic. The British military strategy focused on isolating the islands, establishing a naval blockade, and launching amphibious assaults to regain control. The logistical and operational challenges faced by the British forces highlighted the complexities of modern warfare over distant territories.

The Falkland Conflict drew significant international attention and spurred diplomatic efforts from various nations and organizations. The United Nations, through its Security Council, called for an immediate ceasefire and negotiations. While some countries expressed support for Argentina's claim based on geographical proximity and historical context, the overwhelming international response favored British sovereignty and the right to self-determination for the islanders. The conflict underscored the role of international diplomacy in addressing territorial disputes and maintaining global stability.

In June 1982, following a series of intense naval and land engagements, the Argentine surrender marked a significant setback for the country, leading to the

resignation of President Leopoldo Galtieri. On the other hand, the United Kingdom reinforced its victory by taking into its power the claim over the Falklands Islands, this victory reinforced its territorial claim and solidified Prime Minister Margeret Thatcher. The conflict's outcome has had enduring implications for British-Argentine relations and continues to influence the discourse on sovereignty and self-determination.

The Falklands remain a point of contention between Argentina and the United Kingdom. The debate over the island's sovereignty continues, reflecting broader themes of historical claims and national identity. The legacy of the war has prompted ongoing discussions about military strategy, international law, and the rights of colonial and self-governing territories.

Falklands Islands Invasion

The roots of the Falklands invasion stemmed from a long-standing territorial dispute between Argentina and the United Kingdom. Argentina had claimed sovereignty over the Falkland Islands, better known as Islas Malvinas in the Argentina region, for over a century, using as an argument the geographical proximity and historical inheritance from Spain. However, the United Kingdom had maintained control of the islands since the early 19th century, with a small British population inhabiting the territory. In the years leading up to the invasion, Argentina, under its ruling military junta,

led by General Leopoldo Galtieri, was facing internal unrest and economic decline. In an attempt to rally nationalistic fervor and distract from domestic problems, the Argentine government decided to take decisive actions by invading the islands.

On April 2, 1982, Argentine forces launched a surprise amphibious invasion of the Falkland Islands. Argentine commandos, supported by a large contingent of naval and air forces, landed near the capital, Port Stanley. The small British garrison stationed on the island was ill-prepared for such an assault and quickly found itself overwhelmed by the superior Argentine force. Despite brief resistance, British forces were forced to surrender, and Argentina declared its control over the islands. This bold military maneuver was met with widespread nationalist celebrations in Argentina, but it triggered immediate international condemnation, particularly from the United Kingdom.

The news of the Argentine invasion reached the United Kingdom swiftly, and the response from Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher was equally rapid. Thatcher administration, seeing the invasion as an unacceptable affront to British sovereignty, decided to mount a military response to claim the Islands. Within days, the United Kingdom mobilized a naval task force, comprising aircraft carriers, destroyers, submarines, and troop transport ships, to the South Atlantic. This military buildup aimed to retake the islands through a combination of air, sea, and land operations. The British

public and political establishment largely supported the government's firm response, which positioned the conflict as a matter of national pride and territorial integrity.

Once Argentine forces had secured Port Stanley, they set about establishing control over the rest of the Island. Argentine military command placed restrictions on the local population, including curfews and other measures designed to prevent any organized resistance. Argentine troops fortified key positions across the islands, anticipating a counterattack from British forces. However, this period of occupation was short-lived, as the British task forces rapidly advanced towards the islands. Argentina's failure to gain diplomatic support and its inability to reinforce its troops on the islands contributed to its eventual vulnerability to the British counter-offensive.

The invasion escalated into a border conflict at sea as British forces moved toward the South Atlantic. On May 2, 1982, the British submarine HMS Conqueror sank the Argentine cruiser ARA General Belgrano, resulting in significant Argentine casualties and marking a turning point in the conflict. Argentina responded by deploying its air force to launch attacks on the British fleet, but the British forces, equipped with advanced naval and air capabilities, began to dominate the conflict. British ships engaged in skirmishes with Argentine forces, leading to the loss of ships and personnel on both sides.

The naval battle played a crucial role in isolating the Argentine forces on the Falklands and preventing further supply or reinforcement.

In late May 1982, the British launched a major amphibious landing on the islands, beginning their counteroffensive to reclaim the Falklands. British troops, led by elite forces such as the Royal Marines and the Parachute Regiment, landed at San Carlos Bay, quickly establishing a foothold. From there, the British forces moved inland, engaging in fierce ground battles with Argentine forces in difficult terrain. Battles at Goose Green, Mount Tumbledown, and other key locations showcased the determination and skill of British troops as they advanced towards Port Stanley. Despite strong resistance from the Argentine defender, the superior training and coordination of British forces ultimately prevailed.

By June 1982, the British forces had advanced on Port Stanley, the capital of the Falklands, where the bulk of the remaining Argentine forces were concentrated. After a series of intense battles, the Argentine garrison in Port Stanley was surrounded, and on June 14, 1982, Argentine commander General Mario Mendez surrendered to the British forces, effectively ending the conflict. Argentina's defeat led to political upheaval in Buenos Aires, with the military junta losing power soon after. The United Kingdom restored its control over the islands, and British forces established a permanent military

presence to prevent further invasion. The conflict left a lasting impact on both nations, with the sovereignty of the Falklands remaining a c continues issue to this day.

Argentine Perspective on the Falklands Conflict

From Argentina's perspective, the Falkland Islands have been an integral part of its national territory since the early 19th century. The Argentine government argues that it inherited the islands from Spain after gaining independence in 1816. This historical claim forms the backbone of Argentina's justification for sovereignty over the islands, and their prices in the South Atlantic near Argentina's coastline further support their assertion based on geographical proximity (Laucirica, 2000). The Argentine government had, for decades, diplomatically pressed its claims through the United Nations and other international forums, arguing that the islands were unjustly seized by Britain in 1833, a grievance that continues to fuel tensions.

By 1982, internal political dynamics in Argentina heavily influenced the decision to invade the Falklands. The ruling military junta, led by General Leopoldo Galtierei, faced growing unrest due to economic decline and social instability. The junta sought to unify the nation and divert attention from its internal struggles by reigniting nationalistic fervor around the long-standing territorial dispute (Tuzza, 2011) For the Argentine government, reclaiming the Malvinas is not only about territorial sovereignty but also

about restoring national dignity. The islands are seen as a symbol of colonialism, and recovering them from British control was portrayed as an act of decolonization. In Argentina's view, Britain's control over the islands is an outdated remnant of imperialism, and the Argentine population overwhelmingly supports the invasion as a legitimate act to restore what was rightfully theirs.

Another critical aspect of Argentina's rationale was the failure of decades-long diplomatic efforts. Despite numerous negotiations, Britain remained unwilling to discuss the island's sovereignty, which Argentina perceives as a refusal to engage in meaningful dialogue about a just resolution. Argentina's frustration with the lack of progress in taser negotiations, combined with international inaction, contributed to the decision to launch a military operation to reclaim the Islands (Alberto & Antony, 1985), which was the culmination of years of unsuccessful diplomatic maneuvers, and the junta believed that retaking the Malvinas should result in long-term political gains domestically and internationally.

The United Kingdom's Perspective on the Falklands Conflict

From the United Kingdom's perspective, the Falkland Islands have been a British Overseas territory since 1833. The British government's stance on the islands was largely

shaped by the principle of self-determination. The Falklanders, most of whom were of British descent, expressed a strong desire to remain under British governance, and this sentiment was central to Britain's policy regarding the islands (BBC, 2022). The United Kingdom argued that as long as the inhabitants wished to retain their status as a British territory, their wishes should be respected under international law, Therefore, in the eyes of the British government, the Argentine invasion was a direct violation of the islander's right to self-determination and act of aggression that had to be repelled (Britannica, 2024)

From Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher's government, maintaining control over the Falkland Islands was also a matter of defending the United Kingdom's credibility on the global stage. The sudden Argentine invasion was seen as a challenge to British sovereignty and an affront to international norms. Thatcher's administration was determined to demonstrate that Britain would not allow its territories to be seized without consequences. The invasion of the Falklands prompted immediate action, with the United Kingdom deploying naval task forces to retake the islands. For Britain, the conflict was not only about the Falklands themselves but also about asserting its authority and defending its status as a global power capable of protecting its overseas territories (The New York Times, 2022).

Furthermore, the United Kingdom viewed the Falklands conflict as a necessary response to Argentina's violation of international law. Argentina's invasion was considered illegal under the principles of the United Nations Charter, which prohibits the acquisition of territory by forces. Britain's response, therefore, was framed as just a war in defense of international law and the rights of the Falklanders. The Thatcher government sought to maintain the legitimacy of its actions by garnering support from its allies and the United Nations, which did not officially recognize Argentina's claim over the islands (Alberto & Antony, 1985)

Clash of motivation

The conflict between Argentina and the United Kingdom over the Falkland Islands was driven by fundamentally opposing motivations. For Argentina, the war was an opportunity to correct what was seen as a historical injustice in reclaiming territory that it believed was rightfully its own. The Argentine government also sought to use the war to rally national unity and to project itself as a defender of anti-colonialism (Ruzza, 2011). In contrast, the United Kingdom viewed the conflict as a defense of its sovereignty and the principle of self-determination. British citizens in the Falklands had long expressed their desire to remain British, and the United Kingdom government was committed to protecting their rights.

At the heart of the dispute, was the fact that both countries had strong ties to the islands. For Argentina, the islands were a matter of national pride and historical legacy, while for Britain, they represented the will of a people who identified as British and wished to remain under British governance (Britannica, 2024). The conflict was fueled by this clash of interests, leading to the 74-day war that could determine the results of the Falklands ruling.

International Community's Response and Involvement in the Falklands Conflict

The Falklands conflict between Argentina and the United Kingdom has gained significant international attention, with reactions varying greatly among nations, international organizations, and influential geopolitical powers. While some countries maintained a neutral stance, others offered clear support to either Argentina or the United Kingdom, shaping the diplomatic and strategic landscape of the war. The international community's involvement ranged from direct military assistance to diplomatic mediation, creating a complex web of alliances and tensions.

a. Latin America's Support for Argentina

Latin American nations, particularly those in South America, largely voiced their support for Argentina during the conflict. Many of these countries, driven by shared cultural and historical ties, viewed Argentina's claim over the

Falklands Islands as part of border efforts to address the lingering legacies of colonialism in the region. Argentina received strong diplomatic backing from countries like the Republic of Peru, the Federative Republic of Brazil, and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, with some offering material assistance. For instance, the Republic of Peru reportedly sent military supplies to assist Argentina's war efforts (Ruzza, 2011). Several other nations in the region framed the conflict as a struggle against continued British colonial presence in the South Atlantic, aligning themselves with Argentina's position in various international forums, including the Organization of American States (OAS).

Despite this broad regional support, most Latin American countries stopped short of providing direct military assistance, preferring to offer diplomatic backing. Nevertheless, their collective stance helped strengthen Argentina's argument that the dispute was a decolonization issue rather than a mere territorial dispute, thus framing the conflict within the larger context of regional sovereignty and anti-imperialism. (Alberto & Antony, 1985)

b. United State's Complicated Position

The United States found itself in a delicate position in the conflict. As a close ally of the United Kingdom through NATO and the leading Western power,

the United States had a strong interest in supporting Britain. However, it also maintained significant geopolitical interest in Latin America, where Argentina was a key player, especially during the Cold War. The Regan administration initially sought to mediate between the two sides, with Secretary of State Alexander Hig attempting to broker a peaceful resolution through shuttle diplomacy (BBC, 2022). Hig's efforts, however, ultimately failed as both Argentina and the United Kingdom remained unwilling to compromise on their respective position regarding the sovereignty of the islands. When negotiations collapsed, the United States eventually sided with the United Kingdom, providing critical logistical and intelligence support to the British war efforts. The United States military assistance included satellite intelligence, which allowed the British task force to track Argentine movements, and crucial diplomatic backing at the United Nations Security Council (The New York Times, 2023). Despite this, the United States government was careful to maintain relations with Argeting throughout the conflict. as it did not want to alienate a Key Latin American ally amidst ongoing Cold War tension in the region(Ruzza, 2011).

c. The United Nations and Diplomatic Responses

The United Nations was one of the primary international bodies involved in the conflict, with the Security Council quickly addressing the escalation. Following Argentina's invasion of the Falklands on April 2, 1982, the United Nations Security Council convened to discuss the situation. In response, the Council convened to discuss the situation. In response, the Council passed Resolution 502, which called for the imminent cessation of hostilities, the withdrawal of Argentine forces from the islands, and a peaceful resolution to the dispute (United Nations, 2021)The resolution passed on April 3, 1982, was supported by the United States and the majority of Council members, although Argentina expressed dissatisfaction with provisions.

Despite the Security Council's efforts to mediate, hostilities continued, and the diplomatic efforts of the United Nations were largely overshadowed by the rapid military developments. Nevertheless, the international body played a key role in framing the conflict within the context of international law, particularly emphasizing the illegality of Argentina's invasion and the need for peaceful negotiations (United Nations, 2023). The Security Council's engagement, coupled with ongoing mediation attempts, highlighted the global nature of the conflict and its implications for international peace and security.

d. European and Commonwealth Nations Support for the United Kingdom

The United Kingdom received strong support from its European allies and members of the Commonwealth. The European Economic Community (EEC), the precursor to the European Union, quickly enacted economic sanctions against Argentina's war effort. The solidarity displayed by European nations was a critical factor in isolating Argentia diplomacy during the conflict, ensuring that the United Kingdom maintained broad political and economic support in its efforts to retake the islands (Britannica, 2024)

Additionally, Commonwealth nations, particularly Australia, New Zealand, and Canada offered political and logistical support to the British government. These countries expressed strong backing for Britain's right to defend its territory and the principle of self-determination for the Falkland Islanders, many of whom had deep ties to Commonwealth nations. New Zealand, for example, provided logistical support and contributed to the international embargoes placed on Argentina (The Guardian, 2023). This ligament of support from the Commonwealth further reinforced Britain's position as it launched its military campaign to retake the islands.

e. Soviet Union and Cold War Context

The Soviet Union has maintained a neutral stance on the Falklands conflict, viewing it as an internal dispute between two capitalist nations. However, Soviet leaders, including General Secretary Leonid Brezhnev, have expressed critical views using the war to highlight contradictions within Western alliances. The conflict offered an opportunity for soviet propaganda to emphasize weaknesses in the capitalist bloc, particularly focusing on the United States' delayed support for its NATO ally, the United Kingdom, Although the Soviet Union has not provided direct support to Argentina, its rhetoric has focused on criticizing colonialism, align with border anti-colonial sentiments across Latin America.

f. International Law and the Post-conflict situation

Following the end of hostility, the international community remained engaged in the dispute focusing on a long-term diplomatic resolution and the legal status of the Falkland Islands. The conflict raised important questions about international law, particularly the principles of territorial sovereignty and self-determination. Argentina continued to press its claim to the islands arguing that proximity and historical legacy entitle it to the territory (LAUCIRICA, 2000). On the other hand, the United Kingdom

maintained that the will of the islanders, who overwhelmingly identified as British, was paramount in determining the future status of the Falklands.

In the immediate aftermath of the war, diplomatic efforts sh towers preventing future entourage of the conflict. The United Nations continued to serve as a forum for discussion between Argentina and the United Kingdom, although no substantial resolution was reached. The international community remains divided over the issue, with some countries supporting Argentina's call for sovereignty and others backing the United Kingdom's insistence on self-determination for the islanders (The New York Times, 2023)

Points to discuss

- 1. Historical Claims and Sovereignty
 - a. Argentina's Claim
 - i. Historical connections to the islands date back to Spanish colonial times
 - ii. The impact of the 1982 invasion on Argentina's national identity and pride
 - b. United Kingdom Claims
 - i. Continuous British administration and sovereignty since 1833

- ii. The self-determination of the Falkland Islanders and their preference to remain British
- 2. International Law and Diplomatic Efforts
 - a. Legal Framework
 - i. Analysis of the United Nations resolution and its impact on the conflict
 - ii. The principle of self-determination versus territorial integrity in international law
 - b. Diplomatic Efforts
 - The role of the United Nations negotiations and the failure of diplomatic channels before the conflict
 - ii. The effectiveness of international mediation attempts during and after the conflict
- 3. Military Action and Strategy
 - a. Argentina's strategy
 - i. The objective behind the military invasions in 1982
 - ii. The logistics and execution of the invasion and its immediate impacts

b. British Response

- i. The decision to launch a military task force to reclaim the islands
- ii. Key military operations and tactics used by the United Kingdom forces to retake the Falklands

4. Domestic Implications

a. Argentina

- The impact of the conflict on the Argentine military junta and domestic politics
- ii. The role of the Falklands War in shaping Argentina's political landscape post-conflict

b. United Kingdom

- i. The Influence of the Falklands War on British National Identity and defense policy
- ii. The effect of the conflict on Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher's movement and political career

5. International Reaction and Support

a. Global community

- Reaction from major powers like the United States, Soviet Union, and other influential nations
- ii. The role of international organizations, including the UnitedNations and regional bodies, in the conflict
- iii. The impact of media coverage on public perspective, bothArgentina and the United Kingdom
- iv. The influence of international public opinion and solidarity movements
- 6. Post Conflict Consequences and Future Implications
 - a. Long-term effects on Argentina
 - The ongoing territorial claims and diplomatic relations with the United Kingdom
 - ii. Economic and political repercussions in Argentina after the defeat
 - b. Long-term effects on the United Kingdom
 - The strengthening of British resolve and policy in the South
 Atlantic
 - ii. chane; ges in military strategy and defense posture following the conflict

- c. Territorial dispute
 - i. Possible future scenarios for resolving the Falklands dispute
 - ii. The role of International Diplomacy and legal Frameworks in resolving Ongoing Disputes
- d. Geopolitical impact
 - i. How the Falklands War has influenced international relations and geopolitical strategies
 - ii. The legacy of the conflict in contemporary international affairs and regional politics

SourcesOfficial Sources

Global Centre for the Responsibility to Protect. (2023). *UN Security Council resolutions* and presidential statements referencing R2P. https://www.globalr2p.org/resources/unsecurity-council-resolutions-and-presidential-statements-referencing-r2p/

Repertory of Practice of the United Nations Organs. (2016). *Charter of the United Nations*. https://legal.un.org/repertory/art26.shtml

Security Council Report. (2024). *The veto*. https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/unsecurity-council-working-methods/the-veto.php

United Nations. (2021). *The United Nations Security Council.*https://www.un.org/securitycouncil/

United Nations. (2023). Peace and security. https://main.un.org/securitycouncil/en
University of Notre Dame. (2023, April 13). A history and overview of the United Nations

Security Council. https://www.globalr2p.org/resources/un-security-council-resolutions-and-presidential-statements-referencing-r2p/

Non-Official Sources

Alberto, R., & Anthony, C. (1985). *The Falklands Crisis and the laws of war*. https://digital-commons.usnwc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1490&context=ils&t

BBC. (2022). Falklands War.

https://www.bbc.com/news/topics/cx1m7zg0z3jt/falklands-war

Britannica. (2024). Falklands War. https://www.britannica.com/event/Falklands-War

Britannica. (2024). United Nations Security Council.

https://www.britannica.com/topic/United-Nations-Security-Council2

Jorge O. Laucirica. (2000). Lessons from failure: The Falklands/Malvinas conflict.

https://ciaotest.cc.columbia.edu/olj/shjdir/v1n1/shjdir_v1n1e.pdf?t

Ruzza, A. (2011). The Falkland Islands and the UK v. Argentina oil dispute: Which legal

regime? https://www.gojil.eu/issues/31/31_article_ruzza.pdf?t

Security Council Report. (2024). The veto power and its use.

https://www.securitycouncilreport.org/

The Guardian. (2023). Falklands War: Timeline and key events.

https://www.theguardian.com/world/falklands

The New York Times. (2023). Falklands conflict: A historical overview.

https://www.nytimes.com/topic/subject/falklands-war

Brezhnev, L. (1982). Soviet Union's stance on the Falklands conflict: A critique of Western alliances. Pravda.

Sponsored By:

